

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1-1
1.1. Project.....	1-1
1.1.1. Variation to Project	1-2
1.2. Proposal Overview.....	1-2
1.2.1. Summary of Project Objectives	1-7
1.3. Mining and Rehabilitation History	1-7
1.3.1. Mining	1-7
1.3.2. Rehabilitation	1-10
1.4. Traditional Owners.....	1-11
1.4.1. Sacred Sites.....	1-12
1.4.2. Aboriginal Places and Objects.....	1-12
1.4.3. Historical Places and Objects	1-12
1.5. Existing Facilities	1-12
1.6. EIS Scope and Approach	1-13
1.7. Key Issues Raised by the NT EPA and DoEE.....	1-13
1.7.1. Study Team.....	1-13
1.8. Structure of the EIS	1-15
1.9. About the Proponent.....	1-16
1.9.1. Environmental History.....	1-16
1.10. Proposal Background and Current Status	1-16
1.11. Mine Rehabilitation and Mine Closure in the Northern Territory	1-17
1.12. Project Benefits and Justification.....	1-17
1.12.1. Improvement in Environmental Conditions	1-17
1.12.2. Potential Resolution of the Land Claim	1-17
1.12.3. Kungarakan and Warai – Improved Stewardship and Increased Capacity	1-17
1.12.4. Increased Capacity of Local Workforce	1-18
1.12.5. Local and Regional Economic Impact	1-18
1.12.6. Rum Jungle as a Transformational Project	1-18
1.13. References.....	1-18
1.14. References included in Appendix	1-19
2. Proposal Description.....	2-1
2.1. Project Overview.....	2-1
2.2. Project Implementation Strategy.....	2-3
2.3. A Contaminated Sites Approach.....	2-4
2.4. Stage 3 Project Stages	2-4
2.4.1. Project Establishment	2-5
2.4.2. Earthworks – New WSF Foundation Preparation.....	2-5
2.4.3. Earthworks – Relocation of Waste Rock and Contaminated Soils (including WSF Construction).....	2-6
2.4.4. Earthworks – Cover Systems	2-6
2.4.5. Water Treatment – Surface Waters.....	2-7
2.4.6. Water Treatment – Groundwater	2-7
2.4.7. Construction – Cultural Centre	2-7

2.4.8. Construction – Decommissioning and Demobilisation	2-8
2.4.9. Construction – Land Management, Revegetation and Monitoring	2-8
2.4.10. Stabilisation and Monitoring.....	2-8
2.5. Stage 3 Schedule Inputs and Outputs.....	2-13
2.5.1. Material Movement	2-13
2.5.2. Workforce.....	2-15
2.5.3. Accommodation	2-16
2.5.4. Transport and Logistics Network	2-17
2.5.5. Construction Fleet.....	2-17
2.5.6. Estimated Areas of Disturbance	2-18
2.5.7. Water Management	2-19
2.6. Ancillary Infrastructure	2-20
2.6.1. Electricity Supply.....	2-20
2.6.2. Waste Management.....	2-20
2.6.3. Communications	2-21
2.6.4. Maintenance Workshop, Chemical and Hydrocarbon Storage/Transport	2-21
2.6.5. Emergency Management.....	2-22
2.7. References.....	2-23
2.8. References included in Appendix	2-23
3. Compliance and Risk	3-1
3.1. Legislative Framework	3-1
3.1.1. Approvals and Agreements	3-1
3.2. Applicable Standards	3-3
3.3. Applicable Guidelines	3-4
3.4. Project Risk Assessment	3-4
3.4.1. Risk Identification.....	3-6
3.4.2. Risk Definition	3-6
3.4.3. Timeframe Definition.....	3-7
3.4.4. Likelihood Definition.....	3-7
3.4.5. Consequence Definition.....	3-7
3.4.6. Profile.....	3-9
3.5. References.....	3-9
3.6. References included in Appendix	3-9
4. Stakeholder Engagement and Consultation	4-1
4.1. Introduction	4-1
4.1.1. Stakeholder Engagement Objectives	4-1
4.1.2. Stakeholder Engagement Levels.....	4-1
4.1.3. Stakeholder Analysis Matrix	4-1
4.1.4. Stakeholder Engagement Strategy.....	4-2
4.2. Engagement Summary	4-2
4.3. Key Themes.....	4-2
4.3.1. Environmental Themes	4-2
4.3.2. Cultural Themes.....	4-2
4.3.3. Economic Themes	4-3

4.3.4. Key Project Themes	4-3
4.4. Addressing Further Issues	4-3
4.5. Future Stakeholder Engagement.....	4-3
4.6. References.....	4-9
4.7. References included in Appendix	4-9
5. Regional Setting.....	5-1
5.1. Land Tenure and Land Use	5-1
5.2. Social Setting	5-5
5.3. Existing Services and Infrastructure	5-5
5.4. Economic Setting	5-7
5.5. Physical Environment	5-7
5.5.1. Climate.....	5-7
5.5.2. Extreme Climate Events	5-9
5.5.3. Climate Change	5-9
5.5.4. Geology.....	5-9
5.5.5. Soil Types and Land Units.....	5-11
5.5.6. Water	5-11
5.6. Biological Environment	5-16
5.6.1. Bioregions	5-16
5.6.2. National Parks and Protected Areas	5-16
5.6.3. Flora and Fauna.....	5-17
5.6.4. Fire	5-22
5.7. Regional Projects.....	5-23
5.8. Cumulative Impacts	5-23
5.8.1. Cumulative Impacts in the Aquatic Landscape.....	5-23
5.8.2. Cumulative Impacts in the Terrestrial Landscape	5-24
5.8.3. Cumulative Impacts on Future Projects.....	5-24
5.8.4. Summary of Cumulative Impacts.....	5-24
5.9. References.....	5-24
5.10. References included in Appendix	5-28
6. Existing Environmental Condition	6-1
6.1. Overview	6-1
6.1.1. Site Description.....	6-2
6.1.2. East Branch Finniss River Diversion	6-4
6.2. Compromised Environmental Values	6-5
6.2.1. Background.....	6-5
6.3. Summary Conceptual Site Model	6-6
6.3.1. Waste Rock.....	6-6
6.3.2. Historic Tailings.....	6-8
6.3.3. AMD-Impacted Groundwater	6-9
6.3.4. Contaminated Soils.....	6-10
6.3.5. Summary of Conceptual Site Model	6-22
6.4. References.....	6-24
6.5. References included in Appendix	6-25

7. Rehabilitation Strategy.....	7-1
7.1. Overview	7-1
7.2. Future Land Use	7-2
7.3. Remediation Action Plan	7-4
7.4. Waste Rock Management	7-5
7.4.1. Existing WRD Deconstruction Plan	7-6
7.4.2. WRD Footprint Cover System	7-6
7.5. Contaminated Soils.....	7-6
7.5.1. Copper Contaminated Soils.....	7-6
7.5.2. Dyson's Pit Backfill Cover System.....	7-7
7.5.3. Radiological Soils.....	7-7
7.5.4. Salt Affected Soils.....	7-8
7.5.5. Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs)	7-8
7.6. Scheduling	7-8
7.7. Main Pit Backfilling.....	7-9
7.7.1. Restoring EBFR Flow	7-11
7.8. New WSF Construction	7-12
7.8.1. Foundation Preparation	7-12
7.8.2. Waste Placement.....	7-12
7.8.3. Cover System	7-15
7.8.4. Drainage Systems	7-19
7.8.5. WSF Seepage Management	7-19
7.9. Borrow and Other Materials	7-19
7.10. Water Management	7-23
7.10.1. Water Treatment.....	7-23
7.10.2. Surface Water Management.....	7-23
7.11. Ecological Rehabilitation Strategy	7-24
7.11.1. Objectives	7-24
7.11.2. Domain-based Strategy	7-25
7.11.3. Data Collection.....	7-29
7.11.4. Fauna.....	7-35
7.11.5. Resource Reuse and Salvaging	7-35
7.11.6. Domain-based Methodology.....	7-35
7.12. Rehabilitation Strategy Success	7-37
7.12.1. Rehabilitation Success Metrics.....	7-37
7.13. Additional Data Sources and Examples	7-39
7.14. References.....	7-41
7.15. References included in Appendix	7-43
8. Historic and Cultural Heritage	8-1
8.1. Cultural Heritage Values	8-1
8.1.1 Sacred Sites	8-1
8.1.2 Aboriginal Places and Objects	8-1
8.1.3 Historical Places and Objects	8-2
8.1.4 Culturally Significant Species.....	8-2

8.2. Potential Impacts and Risks	8-3
8.2.1. Disturbance of Registered Sacred Sites.....	8-3
8.2.2. Proliferation of Weeds, impacting Heritage Value	8-3
8.2.3. Disturbance of Known Heritage Places / Objects.....	8-4
8.2.4. Disturbance of Unidentified Heritage Places / Objects.....	8-4
8.2.5. Disturbance of Culturally Significant Species	8-4
8.2.6. Uncontrolled Fire Onsite	8-4
8.2.7. Incursion by External Uncontrolled Fire.....	8-4
8.2.8. Low Risks.....	8-4
8.3. Mitigation and Management	8-5
8.3.1. Disturbance of Registered Sacred Sites.....	8-5
8.3.2. Proliferation of Weeds impacting Heritage Value	8-5
8.3.3. Disturbance of Known Heritage Places / Objects.....	8-5
8.3.4. Disturbance of Unidentified Heritage Places / Objects.....	8-6
8.3.5. Disturbance of Culturally Significant Species	8-6
8.3.6. Uncontrolled Fire Onsite	8-6
8.3.7. Incursion by External Uncontrolled Fire.....	8-6
8.4. Monitoring and Reporting	8-6
8.5. Statement of Residual Impact.....	8-6
8.6. References.....	8-7
8.7. References included in Appendix	8-7
9. Terrestrial Environmental Quality.....	9-1
9.1. Terrestrial Environmental Values.....	9-1
9.1.1. Regional and Significant Topography.....	9-1
9.1.2. Geology.....	9-2
9.1.3. Soil Types and Land Units.....	9-3
9.1.4. Existing WRD Condition	9-6
9.2. Potential Impacts and Risks	9-6
9.2.1. Erosion and Movement of Sediment.....	9-6
9.2.2. Long-term Stability of Landforms and Revegetation Success.....	9-7
9.2.3. Introduced Contamination Risks.....	9-7
9.1.1 Residual Contamination Risks	9-8
9.3. Mitigation and Management	9-8
9.3.1. Erosion and Movement of Sediment.....	9-8
9.3.2. Long-term Stability of Landforms and Revegetation Success.....	9-9
9.3.3. Introduced Contamination Risks.....	9-9
9.3.4. Residual Contamination Risks	9-10
9.4. Monitoring and Reporting	9-10
9.4.1. Erosion and Sedimentation Processes.....	9-11
9.4.2. Long-term Stability and Revegetation Success.....	9-11
9.4.3. Introduced and Residual Contaminated Sites	9-11
9.5. Statement of Residual Impact.....	9-11
9.6. Reference	9-11
9.7. References included in Appendix	9-12

10. Inland Water Environmental Quality	10-1
10.1. Introduction	10-2
10.1.1. Chapter Organisation.....	10-2
10.1.2. Chapter Objectives	10-2
10.1.3. Preferred Rehabilitation Strategy.....	10-3
10.1.4. Supporting Documents	10-4
10.2. Environmental Values and Water Quality Objectives	10-4
10.2.1. Environmental Values	10-4
10.2.2. Locally-Derived Water Quality Objectives (LDWQOs)	10-4
10.2.3. Construction Phase Water Quality Objectives.....	10-5
10.3. Routine Water Monitoring	10-6
10.3.1. Streamflow Gauging Stations	10-6
10.3.2. Surface Water Quality Monitoring.....	10-7
10.3.3. Groundwater Monitoring Network.....	10-7
10.3.4. Groundwater Level Monitoring.....	10-8
10.3.5. Groundwater Quality Monitoring	10-8
10.3.6. Pit Water Monitoring	10-10
10.4. Existing Water Quality Impacts.....	10-10
10.4.1. Groundwater Quality Impacts	10-10
10.4.2. Pit Water Quality Impacts	10-19
10.4.3. EBFR Water Quality Impacts.....	10-22
10.5. Simulated Current Conditions	10-36
10.5.1. Simulated Groundwater Conditions	10-36
10.5.2. Simulated Current Conditions (Surface Water)	10-43
10.6. Predicted Water Quality Improvements for Rehabilitation.....	10-52
10.6.1. Predictive Groundwater Modelling.....	10-52
10.6.2. Predictive Surface Water Quality Modelling	10-69
10.7. Potential Impacts and Risks	10-72
10.7.1. Construction Phase	10-72
10.7.2. Post-Rehabilitation Phase	10-73
10.8. References.....	10-75
10.9. References included in Appendix	10-76
11. Hydrological Processes	11-1
11.1. Environmental Values.....	11-1
11.1.1. Overview	11-1
11.1.2. Current Surface Water Flows	11-4
11.1.3. Beneficial Uses	11-5
11.2. Potential Impacts and Risks	11-5
11.2.1. Impacts due to Altered Flow Regimes	11-6
11.2.2. Impacts due to Groundwater Drawdown	11-10
11.3. Mitigation and Management	11-10
11.3.1. Altered Surface Flow Regimes	11-10
11.3.2. Groundwater Drawdown	11-12
11.4. Monitoring and Reporting	11-13

11.4.1. Objectives	11-13
11.4.2. Monitoring Plan	11-14
11.5. Statement of Residual Impact.....	11-14
11.6. References.....	11-15
11.7. References included in Appendix	11-15
12. Aquatic Ecosystems.....	12-1
12.1. Environmental Values.....	12-1
12.1.1. Overview	12-1
12.1.2. Riparian Vegetation and Aquatic Macrophytes	12-4
12.1.3. Aquatic Fauna.....	12-9
12.1.4. Threatened Species.....	12-12
12.2. Potential Impacts and Risks	12-15
12.2.1. Impacts due to Water Contamination	12-16
12.2.2. Impacts due to Sedimentation	12-16
12.2.3. Impacts due to Altered Flow Regimes	12-16
12.2.4. Impacts to Aquatic Fauna Passage.....	12-16
12.2.5. Impacts due to Weeds	12-16
12.3. Mitigation and Management	12-17
12.3.1. Sedimentation Management.....	12-17
12.3.2. Management of Aquatic Fauna Passage	12-17
12.3.3. Weed Management	12-17
12.4. Monitoring and Reporting	12-17
12.4.1. Riparian Vegetation and Aquatic Macrophytes	12-18
12.4.2. Aquatic Fauna.....	12-20
12.5. Statement of Residual Impact.....	12-20
12.6. References.....	12-20
12.7. References included in Appendix	12-23
13. Social and Economic Impact	13-1
13.1. Socio-Economic Environment.....	13-1
13.1.1. Policy Context	13-1
13.1.2. People and Community	13-3
13.1.3. Employment and Economies	13-5
13.1.4. Services and Infrastructure	13-7
13.1.5. Natural Resources and the Environment.....	13-7
13.2. Potential Impacts and Risks	13-8
13.2.1. People and Community	13-8
13.2.2. Employment and Economies	13-9
13.2.3. Services and Infrastructure	13-9
13.2.4. Natural Resources and the Environment.....	13-10
13.3. Mitigation and Management	13-11
13.3.1. People and Community	13-11
13.3.2. Employment and Economies	13-11
13.3.3. Services and Infrastructure	13-11
13.3.4. Natural Resources and the Environment.....	13-12

13.4. Statement of Residual Impact.....	13-12
13.5. References.....	13-12
13.6. References included in Appendix	13-14
14. Terrestrial Flora and Fauna	14-1
14.1. Environmental Values.....	14-1
14.1.1. Information Sources.....	14-2
14.1.2. Context.....	14-2
14.1.3. Fire	14-2
14.1.4. Vegetation.....	14-6
14.1.5. Weeds.....	14-18
14.1.6. Significant Vegetation	14-24
14.1.7. Groundwater-Dependent Ecosystems (GDEs).....	14-29
14.1.8. General Fauna	14-29
14.1.9. Pest Animals	14-29
14.2. Threatened Species.....	14-30
14.2.1. Likelihood of Occurrence	14-34
14.2.2. Assessment of Significance.....	14-35
14.2.3. Summary.....	14-41
14.3. Potential Impacts and Risks	14-41
14.3.1. Impacts due to Land Clearing.....	14-42
14.3.2. Impacts due to Weeds	14-43
14.3.3. Impacts due to Dust.....	14-43
14.3.4. Impacts due to Bushfire	14-44
14.3.5. Impacts due to Groundwater Extraction	14-44
14.3.6. Threatened Species.....	14-44
14.4. Mitigation and Management	14-46
14.4.1. Vegetation Clearing	14-46
14.4.2. Weed Management	14-49
14.5. Monitoring and Reporting	14-53
14.5.1. Vegetation Clearing	14-53
14.5.2. Weeds.....	14-53
14.6. Statement of Residual Impact.....	14-54
14.7. References.....	14-54
14.8. References included in Appendix	14-59
15. Human Health and Safety	15-1
15.1. Introduction	15-1
15.2. Stage 3 Delivery Health and Safety Framework.....	15-1
15.2.1. Applicable Legislation	15-1
15.2.2. Management Framework.....	15-1
15.2.3. Hierarchy of Control.....	15-2
15.2.4. Incident Management	15-2
15.2.5. Emergency Management.....	15-3
15.2.6. Reporting and Audits	15-3
15.2.7. Interface with the Detailed Engineering Design.....	15-3

15.3. Potential Impacts and Risks	15-4
15.3.1. Flooding and Drowning.....	15-5
15.3.2. Respirable Dust and Gases.....	15-5
15.3.3. Fire.....	15-5
15.3.4. Climatic Extremes.....	15-5
15.3.5. General Hazards.....	15-5
15.3.6. Hazardous Fauna	15-5
15.3.7. Unauthorised Site Access.....	15-6
15.4. Mitigation and Management	15-6
15.4.1. Flooding and Drowning.....	15-6
15.4.2. Respirable Dust and Gases.....	15-6
15.4.3. Fire.....	15-7
15.4.4. Climatic Extremes.....	15-7
15.4.5. General Hazards.....	15-7
15.4.6. Hazardous Fauna	15-7
15.4.7. Unauthorised Site Access.....	15-7
15.5. Statement of Residual Impact.....	15-8
15.6. References.....	15-8
15.7. References included in Appendix	15-8
16. Radiation.....	16-1
16.1. Context.....	16-1
16.1.1. Exposure Scenario	16-1
16.1.2. Units	16-1
16.1.3. Information Sources.....	16-2
16.1.4. Hazard Assessment.....	16-3
16.1.5. Health Impacts from Radiation	16-7
16.2. Potential Impacts and Risks	16-7
16.2.1. During Works	16-8
16.2.2. Post-works	16-9
16.3. Proposed Mitigation and Management.....	16-10
16.3.1. Pre-works.....	16-10
16.3.2. During Works	16-10
16.3.3. Post-works	16-12
16.4. Monitoring and Reporting	16-12
16.5. Statement of Residual Impact.....	16-13
16.6. References.....	16-13
16.7. References included in Appendix	16-14
17. EPBC Matters	17-1
17.1. Introduction	17-1
17.2. EPBC Act Referral	17-1
17.3. Protected Matters Search	17-2
17.4. Relevant Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES)	17-4
17.4.1. MNES Residual Impacts.....	17-4
17.4.2. Environmental Offsets	17-5

17.5. Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD)	17-6
17.5.1. Legislative Context	17-6
17.5.2. Principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development	17-7
17.5.3. Application	17-7
17.5.4. Commitment to Ecologically Sustainable Development	17-9
17.6. References.....	17-9
18. Project Alternatives	18-1
18.1. Introduction	18-1
18.2. Alternative Rehabilitation Scenarios	18-1
18.2.1. No Rehabilitation Works	18-2
18.2.2. Re-cover Existing WRDs	18-2
18.2.3. Backfill Pits and consolidate to an Existing WRD	18-2
18.2.4. Backfill Main Pit only and recover Remaining WRDs	18-2
18.2.5. Backfill Pits and develop a New WRD	18-2
18.2.6. Groundwater Recovery and Treatment Only	18-3
18.3. Alternatives within the Rehabilitation Strategy	18-3
18.3.1. Tailings Storage Methodology	18-3
18.3.2. Main Pit Rehabilitated Landform.....	18-3
18.3.3. WSF Location	18-4
18.3.4. Borrow Pit Locations	18-7
18.4. Other Alternatives	18-8
18.4.1. Water Management	18-8
18.4.2. AMD Technologies	18-8
18.4.3. WSF Cover Design	18-8
18.4.4. Main Pit Backfilling Methodology	18-9
18.4.5. Browns Oxide Mine Facilities.....	18-9
18.5. Conclusion	18-9
18.6. References.....	18-9
19. Summary of Commitments	19-11
19.1. Commitments.....	19-11
20. Terms of Reference Cross Reference Checklist	20-1
21. Appendix.....	21-1

Table of Figures

Figure 1-1: Project location and regional setting	1-3
Figure 1-2: Project overview	1-4
Figure 1-3: Existing site conditions at the former Rum Jungle Mine site.....	1-5
Figure 1-4: Site layout at the former Rum Jungle Mine site prior to rehabilitation (Allen and Verhoeven, 1986)	1-10
Figure 2-1: Overall site layout across project area	2-2
Figure 2-2: Stage 3 delivery model.....	2-3
Figure 2-3: Rum Jungle Rehabilitation Project schedule.....	2-5
Figure 2-4: Indicative haul road layout	2-9
Figure 2-5: Areas of excavation under WSF	2-10
Figure 2-6: Areas and volumes of contaminated soils for excavation	2-11
Figure 2-7: Final site conditions.....	2-12
Figure 2-8: Waste material movement schedule	2-14
Figure 2-9: Estimated Lime Mass for Waste Rock Amendment (Robertson GeoConsultants and Jones, 2019)	2-15
Figure 2-10: Employment numbers and roles	2-16
Figure 2-11: Estimated equipment and types	2-17
Figure 2-12: Waste hierarchy (adapted from NT EPA, 2015)	2-20
Figure 2-13: Total CO _{2-e} per Year of the Project	2-22
Figure 3-1: Initial Risk Ratings Profile.....	3-9
Figure 3-2: Residual Risk Ratings Profile	3-9
Figure 5-1: Project regional setting	5-2
Figure 5-2: Project overview	5-3
Figure 5-3: Plan of future dam site options for the Darwin region (adapted from PWC, 2013).....	5-4
Figure 5-4: Monthly rainfall/evaporation for Batchelor Airport (2010-2019)	5-9
Figure 5-5: Geological setting of the Pine Creek Orogen: inset is location within NT (Ahmad and Hollis, 2013)	5-10
Figure 5-6: Geology of the Rum Jungle Mineral Field showing U and other mineral occurrences (Ahmad and Hollis, 2013).....	5-11
Figure 5-7: Surface water features	5-12
Figure 5-8: Finniss River zones as developed by Hydrobiology (2019)	5-13
Figure 5-9: Dissolved copper concentrations by site, zone and months, Jan - Dec 2012 - 2014 (Note Y axis differences) (Hydrobiology, 2016)	5-14
Figure 5-10: IFD Design Rainfall Depth (Bureau of Meteorology, 2019e).....	5-15
Figure 5-11: Location of Pine Creek Bioregion (Charles Darwin University (2011) – NRM InfoNet)	5-16
Figure 5-12: Project in relation to Litchfield National Park, Fog Bay and Darwin River Dam Catchment (NT Topographic Map 1:250,000).....	5-17
Figure 5-13: Vegetation communities in the Coomalie region (Metcalfe, 2002)	5-18
Figure 5-14: Coomalie region fire history, 2000-2008. (NRM InfoNet, 2019).....	5-22
Figure 6-1: Existing features at the former Rum Jungle Mine site	6-3
Figure 6-2: Original course of the East Branch Finniss River (EBFR)	6-5
Figure 6-3: Distribution of PAF materials by current waste storage location on the former Rum Jungle Mine site ...	6-7
Figure 6-4: Current conditions – Copper (Cu) balance: Actual load (t/yr) 2013-14 water year (RGC, 2019)	6-7
Figure 6-5: Copper (Cu) load to EBFR by Rum Jungle Mine reach (RGC, 2019)	6-8
Figure 6-6: Model view to North of Main Pit backfilled tails (orange) and water cover (blue) (RGC, 2016).....	6-9
Figure 6-7: Basal flow in EBFR diversion channel adjacent to the Intermediate WRD	6-10
Figure 6-8: Current Land Use Plan.....	6-12
Figure 6-9: Comparative heavy metal total concentrations within shallow soils of the Copper Extraction Pad (n=193), Old Tailings Dam (n=492) and undisturbed areas north of the Old Tailings Dam (n=85)	6-14
Figure 6-10: Copper concentrations in the subsoil profile of the Old Tailings Dam area and the lasting effect of the 1984 rehabilitation works	6-15
Figure 6-11: Radiological anomalies at Rum Jungle site investigated by Hughes and Bollhöfer (2010).....	6-16
Figure 6-12: Finniss River zones as developed by Hydrobiology (2019)	6-17
Figure 6-13: Uranium (U) concentrations along the EBFR compared to LDWQO	6-19
Figure 6-14: Sulphate efflorescence at various locations on the Rum Jungle Mine site	6-20
Figure 6-15: Examples of Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) on the former Rum Jungle Mine site	6-21

Figure 7-1: Rehabilitation strategy summary	7-2
Figure 7-2: Land Use Plan	7-3
Figure 7-3: Earthworks Plan for Stage 3 rehabilitation (zoomed to allow view of detailed text)	7-5
Figure 7-4: Earthmoving schedule	7-9
Figure 7-5: Schematic barge and conveyor system	7-10
Figure 7-6: Conceptual vertical WRD deconstruction and cellular WSF construction methodologies	7-14
Figure 7-7: Section of Rehabilitated WRD (from Allen and Verhoeven, 1986)	7-16
Figure 7-8: Typical Section through WSF (O'Kane Consultants, 2013)	7-17
Figure 7-9: Store and Release cover system for seasonal climates	7-18
Figure 7-10: WSF footprint preliminary investigation into recoverable soils.....	7-20
Figure 7-11: Potential borrow pits	7-22
Figure 7-12: Water Management Infrastructure	7-23
Figure 7-13: Domain map	7-26
Figure 7-14: Vegetation units, Rum Jungle Mine	7-31
Figure 7-15: Vegetation condition and disturbances, Rum Jungle Mine	7-32
Figure 8-1: Results of Heritage Register searches – Redacted	8-1
Figure 8-2: Recorded Heritage Places and Objects – Redacted	8-2
Figure 8-3: Residual Impact on Heritage Values – Redacted	8-6
Figure 9-1: Regional topography (RGC, 2011).....	9-2
Figure 9-2: Geology of the Rum Jungle Mineral Field showing uranium and other minerals (Ahmad and Hollis, 2013)	9-3
Figure 9-3: Map of land systems within the project footprint	9-5
Figure 10-1: Surface water monitoring locations and river zones for the EBFR and Finniss River from Hydrobiology (2016).....	10-5
Figure 10-2: Streamflow gauge locations and catchment areas	10-7
Figure 10-3: Groundwater monitoring network	10-9
Figure 10-4: Observed Sulphate concentrations (upper) and Copper concentrations (lower) in groundwater with inferred plumes	10-12
Figure 10-5: Water quality observations and inferred Copper plume in Copper Extraction Pad area	10-17
Figure 10-6: Daily average discharge in EBFR at GS8150200, GS8150327 and GS8150097, July 2010 to July 2017	10-23
Figure 10-7: Daily average discharge in EBFR at GS8150200, GS8150327 and GS8150097, July 2014 to July 2017	10-24
Figure 10-8: Groundwater and surface water quality observations near EFDC	10-25
Figure 10-9: Observed Copper and Sulphate concentrations in the EBFR at gauge GS8150200, July 2010 to July 2017	10-27
Figure 10-10: Observed Copper and Sulphate concentrations in the EBFR at gauge GS8150327, July 2010 to July 2017	10-28
Figure 10-11: Observed Copper and Sulphate concentrations in the EBFR at gauge GS8150097, July 2010 to July 2017	10-29
Figure 10-12: Observed EC and metal concentrations in the EBFR at gauges GS8150200, 2010 to 2017. Note: only parameters for which there is a LDWQO are shown	10-30
Figure 10-13: Hourly pH, EC and turbidity observations in the EBFR at gauge G81500200, 2017/2018 Water Year	10-31
Figure 10-14: Hourly pH, EC and turbidity observations in the EBFR at gauges GS8150327 and GS8150097, 2017/2018 Water Year.....	10-32
Figure 10-15: Model Domain, Finite Difference Grid, and Boundary Conditions	10-37
Figure 10-16: Simulated groundwater flow field, Wet and Dry Seasons 2018	10-38
Figure 10-17: Simulated site features inflows and outflows (Average: 2011 to 2018)	10-39
Figure 10-18: (Upper) Simulated Sulphate plume; (Lower) Simulated Copper plume, 1985	10-40
Figure 10-19: (Upper) Simulated Sulphate plume, (Lower) Simulated Copper plume, Current Conditions.....	10-41
Figure 10-20: Simulated Average Annual Water Balance, 2010 to 2017. Flows expressed in L/s	10-44
Figure 10-21: Simulated and observed streamflows in EBFR at GS8150200, GS8150327 and GS8150097. July 2010 to July 2017	10-45

Figure 10-22: Simulated and observed streamflows in EBFR at GS8150200, GS8150327, and GS8150097. July 2014 to July 2017	10-46
Figure 10-23: Simulated Flows to the Main Pit and EFDC. July 2010 to July 2017 (top) and July 1st, 2015, to July 1st, 2017 (bottom).....	10-47
Figure 10-24: Simulated and observed Copper and Sulphate concentrations in the EBFR at gauge GS8150200, 2010 to 2017	10-49
Figure 10-25: Simulated and observed Copper and Sulphate concentrations in the EBFR at gauge GS8150327, 2010 to 2017	10-50
Figure 10-26: Simulated and observed Copper and Sulphate concentrations in the EBFR at gauge GS8150097, 2010 to 2017	10-51
Figure 10-27: Recovery bore locations and cross-section alignments. Cross-section alignments for Figures 10-40 to 10-43 are shown	10-53
Figure 10-28: Waste re-location sequence and residual WRD footprints	10-53
Figure 10-29: Predicted Sulphate plumes, construction period.....	10-56
Figure 10-30: Predicted Copper plumes, construction period	10-57
Figure 10-31: (Upper) Simulated Sulphate plume, (Lower) Simulated Copper plume, Year 40	10-62
Figure 10-32: Cross-section (Row 79) with simulated Sulphate plume.....	10-63
Figure 10-33: Cross-section (Column 113) with simulated Sulphate plume	10-64
Figure 10-34: Cross-section (Row 79) with simulated Copper plume	10-65
Figure 10-35: Cross-section (Row 113) with simulated Copper plume	10-66
Figure 10-36: Simulated and predicted Sulphate loads, Base Case scenario	10-67
Figure 10-37: Simulated and predicted Copper loads, Base Case scenario	10-68
Figure 10-38: Predicted post-rehabilitation SO ₄ and Cu concentrations in the EBFR at GS8150097	10-71
Figure 11-1: Surface water features and river zones within the project footprint	11-3
Figure 11-2: Original course of the East Branch	11-4
Figure 11-3: Local Catchments Overlaying Aster Global Digital Elevation Model v2.....	11-6
Figure 11-4: Local Catchments Overlaying NT Topographic Maps 1:250,000	11-7
Figure 11-5: Water Management Layout.....	11-8
Figure 11-6: Modelled WTP Input Flow Rates.....	11-8
Figure 11-7: GS8150204 flow rate available data	11-9
Figure 11-8: Predicted drawdown at Year 4 of Stage 3 (Dry season) – Intermediate Pit drawn down for Main Pit backfilling and recovery bores operational	11-12
Figure 11-9: Predicted drawdown at Year 10 of Stage 3 - only recovery bores active	11-13
Figure 12-1: Surface water features, river zones and recent survey sites within the project footprint	12-3
Figure 12-2: Photograph of West Branch riparian vegetation (near confluence)	12-5
Figure 12-3: Photograph of East Branch riparian vegetation (downstream of the mine site).....	12-5
Figure 12-4: Photographs of riparian vegetation on the East Branch in the centre of the mine site	12-6
Figure 12-5: Photographs of riparian vegetation (top) and an aerial view (drone image) of drainage line (bottom) in the gravel borrow area	12-7
Figure 12-6: Location of threatened species occurring in aquatic ecosystems within the project footprint	12-14
Figure 13-1: Finniss River Aboriginal Land Trust boundaries	13-4
Figure 13-2: Change in output by industry sector 2013-14 to 2017-18, Coomalie region (RDA NT, 2019).....	13-6
Figure 14-1: Land systems within the project footprint	14-3
Figure 14-2: Fire history across the Rum Jungle region.....	14-5
Figure 14-3: Vegetation communities in the region (Metcalfe, 2002).....	14-7
Figure 14-4: Vegetation communities in the Rum Jungle site (Eco Logical, 2014)	14-8
Figure 14-5: Photographs of Eucalypt woodland (map unit 10) in the north-west of Rum Jungle	14-9
Figure 14-6: Photographs of previously disturbed areas at Rum Jungle that have become grassland	14-10
Figure 14-7: Photograph of on top of the waste rock dump located at Mt Burton	14-11
Figure 14-8: Photographs of the Mt Fitch site – between the waste rock dump and the pit (top), and of the side of the waste rock dump (bottom)	14-12
Figure 14-9: Photographs of <i>Eucalyptus</i> mid woodland (map unit 1) in the granular material borrow area	14-13
Figure 14-10: Photograph of previously disturbed <i>Eucalyptus</i> low open woodland (map unit 2a) in the granular material borrow area	14-14
Figure 14-11: Photograph of <i>Eucalyptus</i> mid open woodland (map unit 2) in the granular material borrow area .	14-14

Figure 14-12: Vegetation communities in the granular material borrow area.....	14-15
Figure 14-13: Photograph of the low permeability material borrow area taken from the northern boundary towards the east	14-16
Figure 14-14: Vegetation communities in the low permeability material borrow area	14-17
Figure 14-15: Photograph of burnt trees surrounded by Gamba Grass (located outside western border of mine site)	14-21
Figure 14-16: Photograph of high density infestation of Gamba Grass, Perennial Mission Grass and Mimosa at Mt Fitch	14-21
Figure 14-17: Photograph of the high density infestation of Gamba Grass at the low permeability material borrow area	14-22
Figure 14-18: Extent of Gamba Grass infestations at Rum Jungle Mine site (EcOz, 2018)	14-23
Figure 14-19: Photograph of the rainforest adjacent to Mt Burton	14-24
Figure 14-20: Rainforest adjacent to Mt Burton.....	14-25
Figure 14-21: Photograph of vine thicket community in the west of the Rum Jungle Mine site	14-26
Figure 14-22: Vine forest within the Rum Jungle Mine site	14-27
Figure 14-23: Vine forest at Mt Fitch	14-28
Figure 14-24: IUCN red list categories of risk for species	14-31
Figure 14-25: Historic threatened species records in the vicinity of the project footprint	14-33
Figure 14-26: Flowchart of the threatened species' impact assessment process.....	14-37
Figure 14-27: Suitable Darwin Cycad habitat within the project footprint.....	14-39
Figure 14-28: Buffers within the granular material borrow area	14-47
Figure 16-1: Extent of elevated gamma radiation after an on-ground survey, Rum Jungle Mine site (EcOz, 2019).....	16-5
Figure 16-2: Mt Burton radiation survey area (DME, 2016).....	16-6
Figure 16-3: Simplified diagram of the primary pathways leading to dose (source unknown)	16-7
Figure 18-1: Stage 2 proposed Main Pit rehabilitated surface design (O'Kane Consultants, 2016a).....	18-4
Figure 18-2: Stage 2 proposed WSF location and 100 yr ARI Flood Map	18-5
Figure 18-3: Stage 2 investigated Central WRD location and 100yr ARI Flood Map.....	18-5
Figure 18-4: Stage 2 WSF options and geological units	18-6
Figure 18-5: Stage 2 WSF location options review (Robertson GeoConsultants, 2016a)	18-6
Figure 18-6: Stage 2 proposed borrow location (O'Kane Consultants, 2016a).....	18-7
Figure 18-7: Stage 2 proposed borrow location vegetation map (EcOz, 2016)	18-7

Table of Tables

Table 1-1: Sequence of historic mining over the Rum Jungle Uranium Field	1-8
Table 1-2: Study team	1-13
Table 1-3: Structure of the EIS related to Terms of Reference	1-15
Table 1-4: Project background.....	1-16
Table 2-1: Estimated material movement rates.....	2-13
Table 2-2: Equipment list	2-18
Table 2-3: Project land disturbance	2-19
Table 3-1: Applicable legislation	3-2
Table 3-2: Risk Matrix	3-6
Table 3-3: Likelihood Descriptors	3-7
Table 3-4: Consequence Descriptors and Definitions	3-8
Table 4-1: EIS Stakeholder Analysis Matrix – IAP2 Elements	4-4
Table 4-2: Stakeholder Formal Engagement Register	4-5
Table 5-1: Calculated Annual ADT and Monthly ADT for Batchelor Primary Stations, 2018 (TAMS, 2018)	5-6
Table 5-2: Vehicle Classification measured at Batchelor Primary Stations, 2018 (TAMS, 2018)	5-6
Table 5-3: Industries of employment for Batchelor and Coomalie region (ABS, 2017).....	5-7
Table 5-4: Employment in Batchelor and Coomalie region (ABS, 2017)	5-7
Table 5-5: Indigenous and non-indigenous income comparison (ABS, 2017)	5-7
Table 5-6: Average annual temperature, rainfall, humidity and wind speed, Darwin-Daly rainfall district	5-8
Table 5-7: Monthly climate averages, Batchelor Airport (BoM, 2019a)	5-8
Table 5-8: Summary of vegetation types in the Coomalie region (adapted from Metcalfe, 2002)	5-19
Table 5-9: Threatened terrestrial flora recorded in the Coomalie region.....	5-20
Table 5-10: Priority and opportunistic weed species in the Coomalie region.....	5-20
Table 5-11: Threatened fauna recorded in the Coomalie region.....	5-21
Table 5-12: Listed migratory species recorded in the Coomalie Region	5-21
Table 5-13: Feral fauna species recorded in the Coomalie region.....	5-21
Table 6-1: Mining landscape features, dimensions and history	6-4
Table 6-2: Comparison of modified HILs and background soil 'Cut-Off Values' (mg/kg) (adapted from GHD, 2019) . 6-13	6-13
Table 6-3: LDWQO for Uranium (U) by zone (Hydrobiology, 2019).....	6-17
Table 6-4: Tabulated Conceptual Site Model (Generalised)	6-23
Table 7-1: Rum Jungle domain rehabilitation strategy	7-27
Table 7-2: Rehabilitation success metrics	7-38
Table 9-1: Land system characteristics for the Rum Jungle project footprint.....	9-4
Table 9-2: Erosion and movement of sediment risks	9-7
Table 9-3: Long-term stability of landforms and revegetation success	9-7
Table 9-4: Introduced contamination risks.....	9-8
Table 9-5: Residual contamination risks.....	9-8
Table 10-1: Recommended LDWQOs for EBFR and Finniss River from Hydrobiology (2016)	10-4
Table 10-2: Representative groundwater quality and seepage water quality observations for Dyson's Area and near the Main WRD.....	10-13
Table 10-3: Representative groundwater quality and seepage water quality results, Main WRD Area	10-14
Table 10-4: Representative groundwater and seepage water quality results, Intermediate WRD	10-15
Table 10-5: Representative groundwater and seepage water quality results, Central Mining Area	10-16
Table 10-6: Representative groundwater and seepage water quality results, Old Tailings Dam Area and Downstream near EBFR	10-18
Table 10-7: Pit water quality profiling results, Main Pit.....	10-20
Table 10-8: Pit water quality profiling results, Intermediate Pit	10-21
Table 10-9: LWQR exceedances at gauge GS8150200	10-33
Table 10-10: LDWQO exceedances at gauge GS8150327	10-34
Table 10-11: Observed Annual Sulphate loads in the EBFR, 2010 to 207	10-35
Table 10-12: Observed Annual Copper loads in the EBFR, 2010 to 2017.....	10-35
Table 10-13: Simulated Sulphate loads to EBFR (by Reach), Current Conditions	10-42

Table 10-14: Simulated Copper loads to EBFR (By Reach), Current Conditions	10-42
Table 10-15: Comparison of observed and simulated SO ₄ loads in the EBFR, 2010 to 2017	10-48
Table 10-16: Comparison of observed and simulated Copper loads in the EBFR, 2010 to 2017	10-48
Table 10-17: Predicted Sulphate loads during construction period	10-55
Table 10-18: Predicted Copper loads during construction period	10-55
Table 10-19: Model setup for simulating Construction phase	10-59
Table 10-20: Predicted post-rehabilitation Sulphate loads	10-61
Table 10-21: Predicted post-rehabilitation Copper loads	10-61
Table 10-22: Summary of predicted Sulphate and Copper loads, Year 40 (Base Case).....	10-69
Table 10-23: Summary of predicted Copper concentrations and exceedances, Year 40 (Base Case).....	10-70
Table 11-1: Finniss River zones	11-2
Table 11-2: Impact of proposed WTP discharge regime during Main Pit backfilling	11-9
Table 11-3 Proposed Monitoring Plan	11-14
Table 12-1: Finniss River zones	12-2
Table 12-2: Summary of aquatic fauna and macroinvertebrate surveys conducted within areas of the Finniss River relevant to the project	12-9
Table 12-3: Potential impacts to aquatic ecosystems that have a Low inherent risk	12-15
Table 13-1: Population details from 2016 census (ABS, 2017).....	13-3
Table 13-2: Industries of employment for Batchelor, Adelaide River and Coomalie region (ABS, 2017)	13-6
Table 13-3: Employment in Batchelor, Adelaide River and Coomalie region (ABS, 2017)	13-7
Table 13-4: Occupations in Batchelor, Adelaide River and Coomalie region (ABS, 2017)	13-7
Table 13-5: Potential impacts to socio-economic that have a Low inherent risk.....	13-8
Table 14-1: Land system characteristics for the Rum Jungle project footprint.....	14-4
Table 14-2: Most common weed species within a 30 km radius of the Rum Jungle Mine site	14-18
Table 14-3: Weeds recorded during the 2018 survey of the project area	14-20
Table 14-4: Pest animals that are known, or likely, to occur within the project footprint.....	14-30
Table 14-5: Threatened terrestrial species recorded in the project footprint (highlighted) and the surrounding region	14-32
Table 14-6: Darwin Cycad density estimates within and proximate to the project footprint	14-38
Table 14-7: Summary assessment of significance of terrestrial threatened species within the project footprint ...	14-41
Table 14-8: Potential impacts to biodiversity that have a Low inherent risk.....	14-42
Table 14-9: Areas of remnant bushland that will be cleared	14-42
Table 14-10: Significant impact assessment for Black-footed Tree-rat.....	14-44
Table 14-11: Gamba Grass management requirements for Part 6 – Class B zone (> 20 ha)	14-50
Table 14-12: Mimosa management requirements for Part 2 – Class B zone.....	14-50
Table 15-1: Potential impacts to human health and safety	15-4
Table 16-1: Summary of sources/pathways of radiation exposure	16-8
Table 17-1: Summary of protected matters (100 km buffer).....	17-2
Table 17-2: Threatened Species Likelihood of Occurrence (High and Medium Only) (EcOz, 2019a)	17-3
Table 17-3: EIS Chapters addressing the project's EPBC Act controlling provisions	17-4
Table 17-4: Specialist technical studies	17-7
Table 18-1: Summary of MMA results (DME, 2013).....	18-2
Table 19-1: Summary of EIS Commitments	19-11

Appendix List

Allen, C.G. & Verhoeven, T.J. (1986) *Final project report, The Rum Jungle Rehabilitation Project*, Prepared for the Commonwealth Department of Resources and Energy.

CSA Global (2011) *Rum Jungle Mine Site: Results of Soil and Fluvial Zone Sampling and Assessment*. Report to the Department of Resources, Northern Territory.

Department of Primary Industry and Resources (2019) *Draft Water Management Plan, Stage 3 Rum Jungle Rehabilitation Project*.

Eco Logical (2014) *Flora and fauna surveys of the former Rum Jungle mine site*. Prepared for Northern Territory Department of Mines and Energy (Q12-0518).

EcOz (2014a) *Aquatic Reptile Survey of the Finniss River*. Prepared for Hydrobiology.

EcOz (2014b) *Finniss River Terrestrial Fauna Survey*. Prepared for Hydrobiology.

EcOz (2015) *Threatened monitor lizard and bat survey of the Finniss River*. Prepared for Hydrobiology.

EcOz (2019) *Radiation Management Plan, Rum Jungle mine rehabilitation*. Prepared for the Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

EcOz (2019a) *Review of terrestrial ecology surveys relevant to the Rum Jungle EIS*. Prepared for Department of Primary Industry and Resources.

EcOz (2019b) *Rum Jungle radiological hazard assessment report*. Prepared for Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

EcOz (2019c) *Supplementary ecology survey report for the Rum Jungle EIS*. Prepared for Department of Primary Industry and Resources. (This document is included as an appendix in the EcOz (2019). *Review of terrestrial ecology surveys relevant to the Rum Jungle EIS report*).

GHD (2019a) *Rum Jungle 2A – Air Noise and Vibration Air Quality Impact Assessment*. Report to the Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

GHD (2019b) *Rum Jungle 2A – Air Noise and Vibration Baseline Monitoring Report*. Report to the Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

GHD (2019c) *Rum Jungle 2A - Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Report*. Report to the Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

GHD (2019d) *Former Rum Jungle Mine Rehabilitation Project: Modified health investigation levels for surface soils and cover systems soils*. Report to the Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

GHD (2019e) *Social and Economic Impact Assessment, Rum Jungle Rehabilitation Project Report*. Report to the Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

GHD (2019f) *Rum Jungle Stage 3 EIS Risk Register* – Rum Jungle Project Team.

Hughes A. and Bolhöfer A. (2010) *Radiological investigations in the Rum Jungle and East Finniss River areas 2009*. Internal report 584 by the Supervising Scientist to the Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

Hydrobiology (2013b) *Environmental Values Downstream of Former Rum Jungle Mine site – Phase 2*. Prepared for Department of Mines and Energy, Northern Territory Government.

Hydrobiology (2016a) *Rum Jungle Aquatic Ecosystem Survey, Early and late Dry season 2015*. Prepared for Department of Mines and Energy, Northern Territory Government.

Hydrobiology (2016b) *Rum Jungle Impact Assessment*. Report to the Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

Hydrobiology (2019) *Rum Jungle – Refinement of LDWQTVs*. Report to the Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

Jones D.R. (2019) *Geochemical characterisation – waste rock solute source terms stage 2 and main pit tailings*. Report to the Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

Martin-Stone K. (2019) *A report on the archaeological survey for Rum Jungle Rehabilitation Project – Stage 2A*. Report by In Depth Archaeology to the Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

Northern Territory Environment Protection Agency (2019b) *Terms of Reference for Preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement, Rehabilitation of the former Rum Jungle Mine site*. To the Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

Radiation Advice and Solutions Pty Ltd (2014) *Rum Jungle Radiation Monitoring Report*. Report to the Department of Mines and Energy, Northern Territory.

Robertson GeoConsultants (2019) *Groundwater and Surface Water Modelling Report, Rum Jungle Stage 2A, RGC Report 183008/1, November 2019*.

Robertson GeoConsultants and Jones D. (2019) *Rum Jungle Physical and Geochemical Characteristics of Waste Rock and Contaminated Materials (Rev 2)*. RGC Report 183008/2, November 2019.

SLR Consulting Australia (2019) *Rum Jungle Project – Stage 2A, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment*. Report to the Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory.

SRK Consulting (2012) *Geochemical Characterisation of Waste at the Former Rum Jungle Mine Site*. Report to the Department of Resources, Northern Territory.

Taylor G., Spain A., Nefiodovas A., Timms G., Kuznetsov V. and Bennet J. 2003, *Determination of the Reasons for Deterioration of the Rum Jungle Waste Rock Cover*, Australian Centre for Mining Environmental Research, [Online] Available at: https://dpir.nt.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0003/261516/failure_waste_rock_covers.pdf